

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/675,029	09/29/2003	Takumi Nonaka	708493.4010	5410
34313	7590 06/28/2004	EXAMINER		INER
ORRICK, HERRINGTON & SUTCLIFFE, LLP 4 PARK PLAZA SUITE 1600 IRVINE, CA 92614-2558			CHIESA, RICHARD L	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1724	
			DATE MAILED: 06/28/2004	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTO-90C (Rev. 10/03)

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/675,029	NONAKA, TAKUMI			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Richard L. Chiesa	1724			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
THE - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>03 Ma</u>	ay 2004 and 24 May 2004.				
2a)	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3)	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	ion of Claims					
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>3,5-7,14 and 15</u> is/are Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1,2,8-12 and 16</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) <u>4 and 13</u> is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or					
Applicati	ion Papers					
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on <u>29 September 2003</u> is/a Applicant may not request that any objection to the CREP Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction to the Oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	re: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ object drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12)⊠ a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been receive (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No. <u>10/099,560</u> . d in this National Stage			
Attachment	t(s)					
2) Notic 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:				

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

Response to Amendment

1. The amendment filed on May 24, 2004 has been entered.

Election/Restrictions

2. Applicant's election without traverse of species C (Figures 4A, 4B) in the replys filed on May 3, 2004 and May 24, 2004 is acknowledged.

3. Claims 3, 5-7, 14, and 15 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to nonelected species. Election was made without traverse in the replys filed on May 3, 2004 and May 24, 2004.

Claim Objections

4. Claims 4, 8, 13, and 16 are objected to because of the following informalities: (A) It would appear that the expressions "ring-like" (claim 4, line 8) and "ring like" (claim 16, lines 1, 2) should perhaps be changed to --ring-shaped--. Note MPEP section 2173.05(b)F. (B) The word "nozzel" (claim 8, line 4) should apparently be changed to --nozzle--. (C) The phrase "the metering needle" (claim 13, line 5) should apparently be changed to --a metering needle--. Appropriate correction is required.

Art Unit: 1724

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102/103

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- Claims 1, 2, 8-12, and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over U.S. Patent No. 3,640,512 to Morgenroth. Morgenroth (note Figures 1-6) shows a carburetor with a nozzle orifice ring-shaped aperture 6 defined by a flange, tube 2, bleed air passage 5, mixing chamber 3, and a metering needle moving in response to the operation of throttle valve 8 (note col. 2, lines 34-53, and col. 4, lines 1-69) as claimed (35 USC 102b). It would appear that Morgenroth may not explicitly refer to the area above diaphragm 22 as a "constant fuel chamber". However, it is noted that this area is in direct communication with fuel duct 25 just as disclosed by applicant. Consequently, it is inherent from the disclosure of Morgenroth that this area is a "constant fuel chamber" or at least would have been readily obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art (35 USC 103a) that the area above diaphragm 22 in the Morgenroth carburetor is a "constant fuel chamber".

Application/Control Number: 10/675,029

Art Unit: 1724

8. Claims 2 and 9 are also rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over

Page 4

Morgenroth in view of U.S. Patent No. 2,014,763 to Grace. Morgenroth, as described above in

paragraph 7, shows a carburetor substantially as claimed. It would appear, however, that

Morgenroth may not disclose an intake channel with a uniform diameter. In any case, Grace

(note Figures 1-6) teaches the use of an intake channel 10 with a uniform diameter in a

carburetor for the purpose of ensuring efficient atomization (note page 2, right column, lines 22-

34). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to employ a

constant diameter intake channel in the Morgenroth carburetor in order to facilitate optimum

atomization as taught by Grace.

Allowable Subject Matter

9. Claims 4 and 13 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would

be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim

and any intervening claims.

10. As allowable subject matter has been indicated, applicant's reply must either comply with

all formal requirements or specifically traverse each requirement not complied with. See 37

CFR 1.111(b) and MPEP § 707.07(a).

11. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

The prior art fails to teach or fairly suggest the recited carburetor fuel system with the recited

Application/Control Number: 10/675,029

Art Unit: 1724

Page 5

channel, discharge flange, and discharge flange aperture or gap in the recited positioning and

fuel nozzle, metering hole, tube wall, through hole, metering needle, mixing chamber, intake

operative relationship.

Conclusion

12. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's

disclosure. These references have been cited as art of interest to show other carburetors.

13. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Richard L. Chiesa whose telephone number is (571) 272-1154.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Blaine R. Copenheaver, can be reached at (571) 272-1156.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be

directed to the Technology Center 1700 receptionist whose telephone number is (571) 272-0987.

Facsimile correspondence must be transmitted through (703) 872-9306.

Richard L. Chiesa June 25, 2004

> RICHARD L. CHIESA PRIMARY EXAMINER

ART UNIT 1724

June 25, 2004

Richard L. Chiesa